6712-01

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 76

[MB Docket No.17-317 and 17-105; FCC 18-166]

Electronic Delivery of MVPD Communications; Modernization of Media Regulation Initiative

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC or Commission) seeks comment on whether we should permit Subpart T and privacy notices to be delivered electronically to subscribers via means other than verified e-mail.

DATES: Submit comments on or before [INSERT DATE 30 DAYS AFTER PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER]; reply comments on or before [INSERT DATE 45 DAYS AFTER PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER].

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by MB Docket Nos. 17-105 and 17-317, by any of the following methods:

- Federal Communications Commission's website: http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs//. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- People with Disabilities: Contact the FCC to request reasonable accommodations (accessible format documents, sign language interpreters, CART, etc.) by email: FCC504@fcc.gov or phone:
 202–418–0530 or TTY: 888–835–5322.

For detailed instructions for submitting comments and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information, contact Lyle Elder, Lyle.Elder@fcc.gov, of the Media Bureau, Policy Division (202) 418-2120. Direct press inquiries to

Janice Wise at (202) 418-8165. For additional information concerning the information collection requirements contained in this document, send an email to PRA@fcc.gov or contact Cathy Williams, (202) 418–2918.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FNPRM), FCC 18-166, adopted on November 15, 2018 and released on November 16, 2018, and the Erratum to that FNPRM, adopted on November 30, 2018 and released on December 4, 2018. The full text of these documents is available electronically via the FCC's Electronic Document Management System (EDOCS) Web Site at http://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/ or via the FCC's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS) Web Site at http://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/ecfs2/.

(Documents will be available electronically in ASCII, Microsoft Word, and/or Adobe Acrobat.) This document is also available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, which is located in Room CY-A257 at FCC Headquarters, 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC 20554. The Reference Information Center is open to the public Monday through Thursday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. and Friday from 8 a.m. to 11:30 a.m. The complete text may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554. Alternative formats are available for people with disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), by sending an e-mail to fcc504@fcc.gov or calling the Commission's Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418-0530 (voice), (202) 418-0432 (TTY).

Synopsis:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. In this *Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* we seek comment on whether we should permit Subpart T and privacy notices to be delivered electronically to subscribers via means other than verified e-mail. Through this proceeding, the Commission continues its efforts to modernize its regulations and reduce unnecessary requirements that can impede competition and innovation in the

media marketplace.1

- 2. We seek comment on whether we should permit the Subpart T and privacy notices discussed above to be delivered to subscribers via other electronic means. In the attached *Report and Order*, we conclude that these notices may be delivered by verified e-mail, so long as certain consumer protections are satisfied. Some commenters maintain that we should adopt a wider range of permissible electronic delivery formats.² For example, Charter advocates "using texting to communicate with customers, utilizing the same standard for a verified telephone number as was put in place for e-mail." NCTA similarly suggests that SMS texting to a "verified phone number" and "other forms of messaging," such as the use of smartphone apps, should be permissible ways to deliver Subpart T notices.⁴ In addition, Verizon asserts that subscriber notices could be made available through an "electronic message center" that is accessible via a subscriber's television screen.⁵ Although each of these specific alternatives is referenced and supported by at least one commenter, the record in this proceeding provides little evidence regarding how each would work in practice or discussion of what the costs and benefits of these methodologies would be to consumers or cable operators. Accordingly, we seek further input on these alternatives.
 - 3. Would allowing the delivery of Subpart T notices through the use of other electronic

¹ See Commission Launches Modernization of Media Regulation Initiative, Public Notice, 32 FCC Rcd 4406 (MB 2017) (initiating a review of rules applicable to media entities to eliminate or modify regulations that are outdated, unnecessary, or unduly burdensome).

² See, e.g., Comcast Nov. 8, 2018 Ex Parte.

³ Charter October 25, 2018 Ex Parte at 2.

⁴ These methodologies may include social media communications, push notifications from smartphone apps, and dedicated third-party messaging programs (which can be used on a variety of platforms). *See* NCTA Comments at 7 (citing Micah Solomon, *Here's How The New Wave Of Messaging Has Transformed Customer Service*, Forbes, Jan. 18, 2017, available at https://www.forbes.com/sites/micahsolomon/2017/01/18/heres-how-texting-is-transforming-customer-service-and-customer-support/ and Twilio, *How Consumers Use Messaging Today*, https://www.twilio.com/learn/commerce-communications/how-consumers-use-messaging (last visited Nov. 11. 2018). NCTA also argues that cable operators should be permitted to use any electronic means of delivery that is "reasonably calculated" to reach subscribers. NCTA Comments at 7. As discussed in the *Report and Order*, we reject this broad standard.

⁵ Verizon Comments at 9 (the "electronic message center" is accessed by subscribers "through their in-home equipment. Subscribers can access messages posted in the message center on their TV receivers").

means, such as SMS texting, be helpful to subscribers? How would subscribers be made aware that they would be receiving notices in this manner? Should the subscriber have to affirmatively agree to access these notices through the relevant electronic means? Would allowing additional electronic means increase operator efficiency or decrease the environmental waste associated with paper delivery in a meaningful way? We seek comment generally on the costs and benefits of permitting cable operators more flexibility in how these notices are delivered to their subscribers.

- 4. With regard to texting, to what extent do cable operators text information to their customers today? Operators should specify what information they text and how they determine which customers receive texts. Should consumers reasonably expect that a cable operator will text them notices simply because they have provided a "verified phone number" to the cable operator? Do cable operators have methods to verify whether a particular phone number is associated with a cell phone whose user accepts text messages? We assume this option would only be viable for subscribers using smartphones. For example, we note that only subscribers with smartphones can click on weblinks that would contain the notices. Is this assumption accurate? If so, how can operators verify that a given number is tied to a smartphone? If a subscriber does not have a smartphone, how would cable operators ensure delivery of required notices? Some notices required under Subpart T, such as the annual notices under § 76.1602(b), are lengthy. Is it reasonable to send such notices in their entirety to cell phones via text? Could any subscriber incur charges for receiving and accessing this information? How is the Telephone Consumer Protection Act implicated by the use of texting as a means of delivering subscriber notices?
- 5. With regard to other means of electronic delivery, such as the use of smartphone apps or the "electronic message center" suggested by Verizon, to what extent do cable operators use these methods to deliver information to their subscribers today? With respect to notices sent through smartphone apps, how would subscribers be made aware that notices were available to be viewed? If the apps send notices the user's screen even if the app is closed ("push notifications"), could these be

deactivated by the smartphone user? How would subscribers opt out of notices sent to smartphone apps (or know that they might want to do so) if they do not have the smartphone app installed? We seek similar input with respect to the "electronic message center" proposal. That is, what affirmative steps, if any, would subscribers need to take in order to access the Subpart T information, and would it be reasonably accessible? Finally, if we permitted additional means of electronic delivery, are there any consumer protections that would be necessary or beneficial? If so, what protections should we adopt?

- 6. *Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*. As required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, as amended (RFA),⁶ the Commission has prepared this present Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (IRFA) concerning the possible significant economic impact on small entities by the policies and rules proposed in the Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FNPRM). Written public comments are requested on this IRFA. Comments must be identified as responses to the IRFA and must be filed by the deadlines for comments provided on the first page of the NPRM. The Commission will send a copy of the FNPRM, including this IRFA, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration (SBA).⁷ In addition, the FNPRM and IRFA (or summaries thereof) will be published in the Federal Register.⁸
 - 7. *Need for, and Objectives of, the Propose Rules*
- 8. The Report and Order associated with this item adopts rules that permit cable operators and other MVPDs to send specific consumers notices electronically to a verified e-mail address rather than on paper to a physical address. This FNPRM seeks comment on whether we should adopt additional alternative forms of electronic delivery.
 - 9. Legal Basis

⁶ See 5 U.S.C. 603. The RFA, see 5 U.S.C. 601-612, has been amended by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (SBREFA), Pub. L. No. 104-121, Title II, 110 Stat. 857 (1996). The SBREFA was enacted as Title II of the Contract With America Advancement Act of 1996 (CWAAA).

⁷ See 5 U.S.C. 603(a).

⁸ See id.

- 10. The proposed action is authorized pursuant to sections 1, 4(i), 4(j), 325, 338, 624A, 631, 632, and 653 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 151, 154(i), 154(j), 325, 338, 544a, 551, 552, and 573.
- 11. Description and Estimate of the Number of Small Entities To Which the Proposed Rules
 Will Apply
- 12. The RFA directs agencies to provide a description of, and where feasible, an estimate of the number of small entities that may be affected by the proposed rules, if adopted. The RFA generally defines the term "small entity" as having the same meaning as the terms "small business," "small organization," and "small governmental jurisdiction." In addition, the term "small business" has the same meaning as the term "small business concern" under the Small Business Act. A small business concern is one which: (1) is independently owned and operated; (2) is not dominant in its field of operation; and (3) satisfies any additional criteria established by the SBA. Below, we provide a description of such small entities, as well as an estimate of the number of such small entities, where feasible.
- 13. Cable Companies and Systems (Rate Regulation Standard). The Commission has also developed its own small business size standards, for the purpose of cable rate regulation. Under the Commission's rules, a "small cable company" is one serving 400,000 or fewer subscribers, nationwide.

 Industry data indicate that, of 1,076 cable operators nationwide, all but 11 are small under this size

⁹ 5 U.S.C. 603(b)(3).

¹⁰ *Id.* section 601(6).

¹¹ *Id.* section 601(3) (including by reference the definition of "small-business concern" in 15 U.S.C. 632). Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 601(3), the statutory definition of a small business applies "unless an agency, after consultation with the Office of Advocacy of the Small Business Administration and after opportunity for public comment, establishes one or more definitions of such term which are appropriate to the activities of the agency and publishes such definition(s) in the Federal Register." 5 U.S.C. 601(3).

¹² 15 U.S.C. 632.

¹³ 47 CFR 76.901(e). The Commission determined that this size standard equates approximately to a size standard of \$100 million or less in annual revenues. *Implementation of Sections of the 1992 Cable Act: Rate Regulation*, Sixth Report and Order and Eleventh Order on Reconsideration, 10 FCC Rcd 7393, 7408 (1995).

standard.¹⁴ In addition, under the Commission's rules, a "small system" is a cable system serving 15,000 or fewer subscribers.¹⁵ Industry data indicate that, of 6,635 systems nationwide, 5,802 systems have under 10,000 subscribers, and an additional 302 systems have 10,000-19,999 subscribers.¹⁶ Thus, under this second size standard, the Commission believes that most cable systems are small.

operators, which is "a cable operator that, directly or through an affiliate, serves in the aggregate fewer than 1 percent of all subscribers in the United States and is not affiliated with any entity or entities whose gross annual revenues in the aggregate exceed \$250,000,000." The Commission has determined that an operator serving fewer than 677,000 subscribers shall be deemed a small operator, if its annual revenues, when combined with the total annual revenues of all its affiliates, do not exceed \$250 million in the aggregate. Industry data indicate that, of 1,076 cable operators nationwide, all but 10 are small under this size standard. We note that the Commission neither requests nor collects information on whether cable system operators are affiliated with entities whose gross annual revenues exceed \$250 million, and therefore we are unable to estimate more accurately the number of cable system operators that would qualify as small under this size standard.

15. Open Video Services. Open Video Service (OVS) systems provide subscription

¹⁴ These data are derived from: R.R. Bowker, *Broadcasting & Cable Yearbook 2006*, "Top 25 Cable/Satellite Operators," pages A-8 & C-2 (data current as of June 30, 2005); Warren Communications News, *Television & Cable Factbook 2006*, "Ownership of Cable Systems in the United States," pages D-1805 to D-1857.

¹⁵ 47 CFR76.901(c).

¹⁶ Warren Communications News, *Television & Cable Factbook 2008*, "U.S. Cable Systems by Subscriber Size," page F-2 (data current as of Oct. 2007). The data do not include 851 systems for which classifying data were not available.

¹⁷ 47 U.S.C. 543(m)(2); see also 47 CFR 76.901(f) & nn.1–3.

¹⁸ 47 CFR 76.901(f); see FCC Announces New Subscriber Count for the Definition of Small Cable Operator, Public Notice, 16 FCC Rcd 2225 (Cable Services Bureau 2001).

¹⁹ These data are derived from R.R. BOWKER, BROADCASTING & CABLE YEARBOOK 2006, "Top 25 Cable/Satellite Operators," pages A-8 & C-2 (data current as of June 30, 2005); WARREN COMMUNICATIONS NEWS, TELEVISION & CABLE FACTBOOK 2006, "Ownership of Cable Systems in the United States," pages D-1805 to D-1857.

²⁰ The Commission does receive such information on a case-by-case basis if a cable operator appeals a local franchise authority's finding that the operator does not qualify as a small cable operator pursuant to section 76.901(f) of the Commission's rules.

services.²¹ The open video system framework was established in 1996, and is one of four statutorily recognized options for the provision of video programming services by local exchange carriers. ²² The OVS framework provides opportunities for the distribution of video programming other than through cable systems. Because OVS operators provide subscription services, ²³ OVS falls within the SBA small business size standard covering cable services, which is "Wired Telecommunications Carriers." The SBA has developed a small business size standard for this category, which is: all such firms having 1,500 or fewer employees.²⁵ To gauge small business prevalence for the OVS service, the Commission relies on data currently available from the U.S. Census for the year 2012. According to that source, there were 3,117 firms that in 2012 were Wired Telecommunications Carriers. Of these, 3,059 operated with less than 1,000 employees. Based on this data, the majority of these firms can be considered small.²⁶ In addition, we note that the Commission has certified some OVS operators, with some now providing service.²⁷ Broadband service providers ("BSPs") are currently the only significant holders of OVS certifications or local OVS franchises.²⁸ The Commission does not have financial or employment information regarding the entities authorized to provide OVS, some of which may not yet be operational. Thus, at least some of the OVS operators may qualify as small entities. The Commission further notes that it has certified approximately 45 OVS operators to serve 116 areas, and some of these are currently providing service.²⁹ Affiliates of Residential Communications Network, Inc. (RCN) received approval to operate OVS systems in New York City, Boston, Washington, D.C., and other areas.

_

²¹ See 47 U.S.C. 573.

²² 47 U.S.C. 571(a)(3)-(4). See 13th Annual Report, 24 FCC Rcd at 606, para. 135.

²³ See 47 U.S.C. 573.

²⁴ U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 NAICS Definitions, 517110 Wired Telecommunications Carriers, http://www.census.gov/naics/2012/def/ND517110.HTM#N517110.

²⁵ 13 CFR 201.121, NAICS code 517110 (2012).

²⁶ See U.S. Census Bureau, Table EC1251SSSZ5, https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/searchresults.xhtml?refresh=t#none.

²⁷ A list of OVS certifications may be found at http://www.fcc.gov/mb/ovs/csovscer.html.

²⁸ See 13th Annual Report, 24 FCC Rcd at 606-07 para. 135. BSPs are newer firms that are building state-of-the-art, facilities-based networks to provide video, voice, and data services over a single network.

²⁹ See http://www.fcc.gov/encyclopedia/current-filings-certification-open-video-systems (current as of July 2012).

RCN has sufficient revenues to assure that they do not qualify as a small business entity. Little financial information is available for the other entities that are authorized to provide OVS and are not yet operational. Given that some entities authorized to provide OVS service have not yet begun to generate revenues, the Commission concludes that up to 44 OVS operators (those remaining) might qualify as small businesses that may be affected by the rules and policies adopted herein.

16. Satellite Master Antenna Television (SMATV) Systems, also known as Private Cable Operators (PCOs). SMATV systems or PCOs are video distribution facilities that use closed transmission paths without using any public right-of-way. They acquire video programming and distribute it via terrestrial wiring in urban and suburban multiple dwelling units such as apartments and condominiums, and commercial multiple tenant units such as hotels and office buildings. SMATV systems or PCOs are now included in the SBA's broad economic census category, "Wired Telecommunications Carriers," which was developed for small wireline firms. Under this category, the SBA deems a wireline business to be small if it has 1,500 or fewer employees. Census data for 2012 indicate that in that year there were 3,117 firms operating businesses as wired telecommunications carriers. Of that 3,117, 3,059 operated with 999 or fewer employees. Based on this data, we estimate that a majority of operators of SMATV/PCO companies were small under the applicable SBA size standard. 33

17. Direct Broadcast Satellite (DBS) Service. DBS Service is a nationally distributed subscription service that delivers video and audio programming via satellite to a small parabolic dish antenna at the subscriber's location. DBS is now included in SBA's economic census category "Wired Telecommunications Carriers." The Wired Telecommunications Carriers industry comprises

³⁰ See 13 CFR 121.201, NAICS code 517110 (2012).

³¹ Although SMATV systems often use DBS video programming as part of their service package to subscribers, they are not included in section 340's definition of "satellite carrier." *See* 47 U.S.C. 340(i)(1) and 338(k)(3); 17 U.S.C. 119(d)(6).

³² 13 CFR 121.201, NAICS code 517110 (2012).

³³ U.S. Census Bureau, Table EC1251SSSZ5, https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/searchresults.xhtml?refresh=t#none.

establishments primarily engaged in operating and/or providing access to transmission facilities and infrastructure that they own and/or lease for the transmission of voice, data, text, sound, and video using wired telecommunications networks. Transmission facilities may be based on a single technology or combination of technologies. Establishments in this industry use the wired telecommunications network facilities that they operate to provide a variety of services, such as wired telephony services, including VoIP services, wired (cable) audio and video programming distribution; and wired broadband internet services. By exception, establishments providing satellite television distribution services using facilities and infrastructure that they operate are included in this industry.³⁴ The SBA determines that a wireline business is small if it has fewer than 1500 employees.³⁵ Census data for 2012 indicate that 3,117 wireline companies were operational during that year. Of that number, 3,083 operated with fewer than 1,000 employees.³⁶ Based on that data, we conclude that the majority of wireline firms are small under the applicable standard. However, currently only two entities provide DBS service, which requires a great deal of capital for operation: DIRECTV (owned by AT&T) and DISH Network.³⁷ DIRECTV and DISH Network each report annual revenues that are in excess of the threshold for a small business. Accordingly, we must conclude that internally developed FCC data are persuasive that in general DBS service is provided only by large firms.

- 18. Description of Projected Reporting, Recordkeeping, and Other Compliance
 Requirements
- 19. The Commission seeks comment on whether alternative electronic delivery of certain notices to subscribers will reduce the costs and burdens on MVPDs of providing such notices. We

³⁴ See U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 NAICS Definitions, "517110 Wired Telecommunications Carriers," http://www.census.gov/cgi-bin/sssd/naics/naicsrch.

³⁵ NAICS Code 517110; 13 CFR 121.201.

³⁶ See U.S. Census Bureau, Table No. EC1251SSSZ4, Information: Subject Series - Estab & Firm Size: Employment Size of Firms for the U.S.: 2012; 2012 Economic Census of the United States, http://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices.jasf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid+ECN_2012_US.51SSSZ4&prodType=table.

³⁷ See Annual Assessment of the Status of Competition in the Market for Delivery of Video Programming, MB Docket No. 12-203, Fifteenth Report, 28 FCC Rcd 10496, 10507, para. 27 (2013).

anticipate that adoption of any additional options will result in no increase to the reporting, recordkeeping, or other compliance requirements of MVPDs, including small entities.

- 20. Steps Taken to Minimize Significant Economic Impact on Small Entities and Significant Alternatives Considered
- 21. The RFA requires an agency to describe any significant alternatives that it has considered in reaching its proposed approach, which may include the following four alternatives (among others): "(1) the establishment of differing compliance or reporting requirements or timetables that take into account the resources available to small entities; (2) the clarification, consolidation, or simplification of compliance and reporting requirements under the rule for such small entities; (3) the use of performance, rather than design standards; and (4) an exemption from coverage of the rule, or any part thereof, for small entities."
- 22. The Commission expects to more fully consider the economic impact on small entities following its review of comments filed in response to the FNPRM and this IRFA. The Commission has found that electronic delivery of notices greatly eases the burden of complying with notification requirements for MVPDs, including small MVPDs, and there is no evidence that adoption of alternative electronic means of communication would result in any increase of that lowered burden. The Commission's evaluation of the comments filed on this topic will shape the final conclusions it reaches, the final significant alternatives it considers, and the actions it ultimately takes in this proceeding to minimize any significant economic impact that may occur on small entities.
 - 23. Federal Rules that May Duplicate, Overlap, or Conflict With the Proposed Rule
 - None.
- 25. *Initial Paperwork Reduction Act Analysis* This document contains proposed information collection requirements. The Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce

_

³⁸ 5 U.S.C. § 603(c)(1)-(4).

paperwork burdens, invites the general public and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to comment on the information collection requirements contained in this document, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. Law 104-13. In addition, pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, Pub. Law 107-198, *see* 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(4), the Commission seeks specific comment on how it might "further reduce the information collection burden for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees."

26. <u>Ex Parte Rules – Permit-But-Disclose</u>. This proceeding shall be treated as a "permit-butdisclose" proceeding in accordance with the Commission's ex parte rules.³⁹ Persons making ex parte presentations must file a copy of any written presentation or a memorandum summarizing any oral presentation within two business days after the presentation (unless a different deadline applicable to the Sunshine period applies). Persons making oral ex parte presentations are reminded that memoranda summarizing the presentation must (1) list all persons attending or otherwise participating in the meeting at which the ex parte presentation was made, and (2) summarize all data presented and arguments made during the presentation. If the presentation consisted in whole or in part of the presentation of data or arguments already reflected in the presenter's written comments, memoranda, or other filings in the proceeding, the presenter may provide citations to such data or arguments in his or her prior comments, memoranda, or other filings (specifying the relevant page and/or paragraph numbers where such data or arguments can be found) in lieu of summarizing them in the memorandum. Documents shown or given to Commission staff during ex parte meetings are deemed to be written ex parte presentations and must be filed consistent with rule 1.1206(b). In proceedings governed by rule 1.49(f) or for which the Commission has made available a method of electronic filing, written ex parte presentations and memoranda summarizing oral ex parte presentations, and all attachments thereto, must be filed through the electronic comment filing system available for that proceeding, and must be filed in their native format (e.g., .doc, .xml, .ppt, searchable .pdf). Participants in this proceeding should familiarize

-

³⁹ 47 CFR 1.1200 et seq.

themselves with the Commission's ex parte rules.

- 27. <u>Filing Comments and Replies</u> Pursuant to §§1.415 and 1.419 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.419, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates indicated on the first page of this document. Comments may be filed using the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS). See Electronic Filing of Documents in Rulemaking Proceedings, 63 FR 24121 (1998).
 - Electronic Filers: Comments may be filed electronically using the Internet by accessing the ECFS: http://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/ecfs2/.
 - Paper Filers: Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and one copy of each
 filing. If more than one docket or rulemaking number appears in the caption of this
 proceeding, filers must submit two additional copies for each additional docket or rulemaking
 number.

Filings can be sent by hand or messenger delivery, by commercial overnight courier, or by first-class or overnight U.S. Postal Service mail. All filings must be addressed to the Commission's Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.

- All hand-delivered or messenger-delivered paper filings for the Commission's Secretary must be delivered to FCC Headquarters at 445 12th Street, SW, TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. The filing hours are 8 a.m. to 7 p.m. All hand deliveries must be held together with rubber bands or fasteners. Any envelopes and boxes must be disposed of <u>before</u> entering the building.
- Commercial overnight mail (other than U.S. Postal Service Express Mail and Priority Mail)
 must be sent to 9050 Junction Drive, Annapolis Junction, MD 20701.
- U.S. Postal Service first-class, Express, and Priority mail must be addressed to 445 12th
 Street, SW, Washington, DC 20554.

28. Availability of Documents - Comments, reply comments, and ex parte submissions will

be available for public inspection during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center, Federal

Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW, CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. These

documents will also be available via ECFS. Documents will be available electronically in ASCII,

Microsoft Word, and/or Adobe Acrobat.

29. People with Disabilities – To request materials in accessible formats for people with

disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an e-mail to fcc504@fcc.gov or call

the FCC's Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418-0530 (voice), (202) 418-0432

(TTY).

30. IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to the authority found in sections 1, 4(i), 4(j), 325, 338,

624A, 631, 632, and 653 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 151, 154(i), 154(j),

325, 338, 544a, 551, 552, and 573 this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking IS ADOPTED.

31. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Commission's Consumer and Governmental

Affairs Bureau, Reference Information Center, SHALL SEND a copy of this Further Notice of Proposed

Rulemaking, including the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analyses, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of

the Small Business Administration

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2019-04142 Filed: 3/6/2019 8:45 am; Publication Date: 3/7/2019]